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## Important considerations

This material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as accounting, legal or tax advice. Reasonable efforts have been made to ensure its accuracy, but errors and omissions are possible.

All comments related to taxation are general in nature and are based on current Canadian tax legislation for Canadian residents, which is subject to change. Persons who are not Canadian residents may be subject to different tax rules in Canada, and persons who are resident in Canada but also citizens of another country may be subject to taxes levied by jurisdictions other than Canada.

For individual circumstances, clients should be advised to consult with their legal or tax advisors.

This information is current as of October 1, 2019.
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## Ownership

CORPORATE OR PERSONAL

## The Choice

## Corporate vs Personal

## Corporate vs Personal

1. What is the purpose/objective of the Life insurance?
2. Where is the Life Insurance proceeds(i.e. cash) needed? - When?
3. Where are the funds to support the life insurance premiums?

## Corporate vs Personal

| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| PERSONAL | CORPORATE |
| Tax Rate $=50 \%$ | Tax Rate $=$ <br> $11-12 \%$ |
|  | Tax Rate $=$ <br> $27 \%$ |

## Corporate vs Personal

= Premium / (1 - Tax Rate)

| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PERSONAL | CORPORATE | CORPORATE |
| @50\% | $@ 11-12 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 11,363$ | $\$ 13,699$ |

## Sometimes it's Personal

PART II

## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance

Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Birnie v Birnie, 2019 ONSC 2152

## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance



## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance

## Separation Agreement:

The Husband undertakes and agrees to obtain a life insurance policy on his life in the face amount of $\$ 500,000.00$.

The Husband will designate the Wife as the irrevocable beneficiary under the terms of the aforementioned life insurance policy; with the beneficiary designation to continue for so long as the Husband is required to pay spousal support to the Wife.

## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance

## The Judge was to decide:

1) If it was a stand-alone clause, the Estate would be liable to the former spouse for the entire $\$ 500,000$.
2) If the clause was only intended to "secure" support payments, then the Estate would not be liable to the former spouse

- This is because the Deceased had been making the necessary support payments during his lifetime. So the full amount of the insurance proceeds would remain the Estate property.


## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance

## The Judge found that:

1) the language in the agreement did not clearly indicate that the "sole" intention of the insurance clause was to secure support payments.
2) there could be any number of other reasons to benefit the former spouse with such a clause, including "affection, appreciation, guilt or regret."

## Estate Obligations \& Life Insurance

## Lessons:

- The importance of clear and specific drafting.
- The impact existing legal obligations may have on estate plans.

If there are outstanding obligations at the time of death, a court may find the Estate liable for those obligations in priority to intended beneficiaries - \$500,000

Lessons for Advisors?

## Term Options - Longer Options

Term Plus Options:
$>$ Term Plus 10
$>$ Term Plus 15

- Term Plus 20
$\Rightarrow$ Term Plus 25
- Term Plus 30
>Term Plus 35


## New Term Plus Options:

$>$ Term Plus 40

# Life insurance for business owners 

PART III

## Benefits of a corporately owned permanent insurance policy

## Attributes of life insurance:

- Death Benefit is received Tax-Free
- Tax exempt policies are not subject to accrual taxation
- Flexibility to overfund with universal life
- Provides immediate liquidity at death (when it's most important)
- Capital Dividend Account (CDA)


## CDA: Capital Dividend Account

Owner: Corporation
Beneficiary: Corporation

## CDA = Death Benefit - ACB

## Liquidity

## CDA

TAX SIDE
LEGAL SIDE

## CDA

TAX SIDE

## CDA

## CDA



Less:
Any Capital
Dividends
Paid

## CDA \& Each Component

## CDA

Non-taxable
portion of
Capital Gain
exceeding the
non-deductible
portion of the
Capital Loss

|  | Life <br> insurance <br> proceeds <br> Death Benefit <br> $-A C B$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## CDA \& Each Component

A negative balance in one component of the CDA does not affect the other components that go into the calculation of the balance.

- A negative balance will not reduce the overall positive CDA balance created in the other categories.

Example) Capital loss realized on the sale of a property does not "subtract" from the calculation from the component/calculation that includes the receipt of the Life Insurance Death Benefit

## CDA \& Each Component

## But:

Possible for a negative balance in one CDA component to affect the amount of capital dividends a corporation is able to pay from the receipt of life insurance.

1. Capital Gain $=\$ 1,000,000.1 / 2$ creates a CDA credit. Pay a capital dividend of \$500,000
2. Then assume a Capital Loss is realized $=\$ 400,000.1 / 2=\$ 200,000$
3. Life Insurance proceeds, CDA credit = \$2,000,000

## CDA \& Each Component

1/2 Capital Gains less½ Capital Loss
Life Insurance CDA

- \$300,000 (\$500,000 less \$200,000)
Capital Dividends (previously paid) - $(\$ 500,000)$-\$2,000,000


## CDA Balance

CDA shortfall = $\$ 200,000$ (if you want to pay out the insurance proceeds)

## CDA: Capital Dividend Account

Election Form (to file Capital Dividend)
=
T2054

## Opportunities - Insurance for Business Owners



## Buy Sell Agreements

PART IV

## Funding the Buy Sell Agreement



High Risk

## Term Options - Longer Options

Term Plus Options:
$>$ Term Plus 10
$\Rightarrow$ Term Plus 15
> Term Plus 20
$>$ Term Plus 25

- Term Plus 30
$>$ Term Plus 35
$\Rightarrow$ Term Plus 40


## New Term Plus Options:

> Exchange Program
>Term Plus 40

- Renewable to Age 85


## Where to hold the Insurance



FMV
= \$6.0 million

## Buy Sell Arrangements

1. Cross purchase (promissory note)
2. Share Redemption
3. Hybrid

## Buy Sell Arrangement

## Cross Purchase Method:

A. Flow of Funds
B. Tax Impact

Upon John's death...

## Buy Sell Arrangement

## A. Flow of Funds

1. JohnCo would sell its Opco shares to BenCo for $\$ 3.0$ million.
2. Benco would purchase the Opco shares owned by JohnCo for a $\$ 3.0$ million promissory note.
3. Opco would pay a $\$ 3.0$ million capital dividend to Benco
4. Benco would use the funds to pay off the note

## Buy Sell Arrangement

## B. Tax Impact

1. JohnCo would realize a $\$ 3.0$ million capital gain (sale proceeds less ACB)
2. $1 / 2$ is taxable $=$ Taxable capital gain is taxed to JohnCo
3. As JohnCo is a private corporation, other $1 / 2$ creates a CDA credit
4. Life insurance would be received tax free to Opco
5. Opco = Credit to the Capital Dividend Account
6. $\quad C D A=$ Death Benefit $-A C B$
7. Opco its CDA by paying a capital dividend AND BenCo's CDA
8. BenCo retains the CDA credit after the purchase of Opco' shares.

BenCo can receive future tax free dividends.

## Buy Sell Agreement

## Funds the Buy Sell

Provides Liquidity

CDA

## CDA

## LEGAL SIDE

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT 2009 RIBEIRO VS. BRAUN NURSERY LTD.

## CDA - Ribeiro Case

Majority shareholdings were owned by the son of the founder at the time the USA was entered into.

The company had granted shares to:

- six key employees
- including Fernando Ribeiro who had worked with the company for 25 years.

Share value: \$1.6 million

## CDA - Ribeiro Case

1.The company had Keyperson Insurance: $\$ 1.0$ million
2. The shareholders agreement stipulated that Fernando's shares would be purchased at FMV,

-     - payable $25 \%$ on the date of closing,
- balance in 5 equal annual installments with interest on the unpaid balance at 7\%).

3. Fernando's widow received these installments

## CDA - Ribeiro Case

## The Bad:

The installments were received as taxable income
Corporation required to use insurance for buyout

- (but no mention of capital dividend account)

Estate took the corporation to court
Sought "oppression remedy"

- damages equal to additional tax paid ( $\sim 250,000$ )

Alleged that Corporation acted unfairly in failing to declare capital dividends on Fernando's share buyout

## CDA - Ribeiro Case

The Bad:
The Court

- found that Corporation had fulfilled all obligations under shareholders agreement
- found that deceased could not have reasonably expected Corporation to go beyond wording of the agreement

The Claim $\square$ Dismissed

## CDA \& Corporate Insurance

Key Person. Buy/Sell

## KEY POINTS:

-Language in the Shareholder Agreement
-Tax Implications

## Dual Solution - Term + Permanent

PART V

## Dual Solution

## Case Study:

50 Year old Shareholders
Incorporated

- \$1.5 million of life insurance
> Corporate Life insurance Solution


## Dual Solution

What are the benefits of combining Term + Permanent:

## Term Plus Options:

$>$ Term Plus 10
$>$ Term Plus 15
$\Rightarrow$ Term Plus 20
$>$ Term Plus 25
$\Rightarrow$ Term Plus 30
$>$ Term Plus 35
$>$ Term Plus 40

Permanent Options:
$>$ UL YRT
$>$ Level
>Non-participating Whole Life

## Dual Solution

## What are the benefits of combining Term + Permanent:

> Term coverage:
$>$ Ability to convert in the future as needs/objectives change.
$>$ This offers flexibility for the corporate client.
>Permanent coverage (with a reduced cashflow requirement)
>Efficiency of CDA (Capital Dividend Account)
$\Rightarrow C D A=$ Death Benefit - ACB

## Dual Solution

## Coordinating Premium/Death Benefit/CDA:

>Male: NS, Age 50

| $\$ 1,500,000$ | SSQ | Company 2 <br> (Reduced Dividend Scale) | Premium <br> Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Premium | $\$ 39,090$ | $\$ 52,310$ |  |
| Premium Difference |  |  | $\$ 264,000$ |
| Death Benefit (Age 85) | $\$ 1,500,000$ | $\$ 1,943,460$ |  |
| CDA (Age 85) | $\$ 1,135,552$ | $\$ 1,395,823$ |  |
| Add: T-35 (with premium difference) | $\$ 1,034,993$ |  |  |
| New CDA (T-35 + WL) | $\$ 2,170,545$ | $\$ 1,395,823$ |  |

## Dual Solution

## Client looking for:

- Guarantees
- Flexibility
- CDA (efficiency of ACB)


## Conclusion

Life Insurance
=
Liquidity \& CDA when it's most important

## Thank you.

## QUESTIONS
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